### Septic System Management Plan Agreement

Property Owner: <u>Cory Wensmann</u>	Phone: <u>3202327608</u> Date: <u>6-03-22</u>
Site Address:	Parcel #_ <u>310451000</u>
System Designer: Darrell Bacon	Company Name: Big Stone Excavating License # 4018

**Management Tasks**—Listed below are the operating and management activities necessary to ensure the long-term performance of your septic system. The list includes responsibilities of the system owner and those of the system designer and or other septic system professionals. Certain management activities will require a licensed septic system professional.

**Service Intervals**—The system designer and Morrison County are providing recommended Service Intervals for your septic system.

- State Code requires "septic tank assessment" every 36 months
- Morrison County code requires "septic tank assessment" every 36 months
- System Designer recommends "septic tank assessment" every 24 months
  - If the assessment identifies a need for pumping and cleaning of your tanks it must be done by a licensed professional.

Seasonal Tasks—or several times per year:

- ✓ Leaks. Check (listen, look) for leaks in toilets and dripping faucets. Repair leaks promptly.
- Surfacing sewage. Regularly check for wet or spongy soil around your treatment area. If surfacing sewage or strong odors are not corrected by pumping the tank or fixing broken caps, call your service professional.
   Untreated sewage may make humans and animals sick.
- ✓ Alarms. If there is an Alarm, the signal indicates there is a problem; contact your maintainer or a licensed septic system professional any time the alarm signals.
- ✓ **Lint filters.** If there is a lint filter, check for buildup and clean when necessary.
- ✓ Effluent screen. If there is an effluent screen, inspect and clean it twice a year or per manufacturer recommendations

Annual Tasks—or scheduled maintenance tasks:

- ✓ **Inspection Caps**. Check to make sure they are properly capped. Replace caps that are damaged.
- Pumps and controls. Check to make sure the pump and controls are operating correctly and inspect wiring for corrosion and function.
- ✓ Event counter or water meter. Monitor the average daily water use (if applicable).
- Septic tank integrity. Scheduling of pumping and cleaning of tanks at the recommended interval is <u>very</u> <u>important.</u>
  - This maintenance <u>must</u> be conducted through the manhole openings and include verification that tank and tank components are watertight and in good operating condition.

"I understand it is task manager's responsibility (property owner or contracted licensed maintainer) to properly operate and maintain the sewage treatment system on this property, utilizing this Management Plan. If requirements of this Management Plan are not met, I (a management professional) will promptly notify Morrison County Planning & Zoning and take necessary corrective actions. If I (property owner) have a new system, I agree to adequately protect the reserve area for future use as a soil treatment system."

Property Owner Signature:		Date:	
Designer Signature:	ting/Mark & Kody Throener/License # L4018	Date: <u>6-03-22</u>	
Morrison County P&Z Signature:	Jeremy Bartkowicz	<sub>Date:</sub> 13 June 2022	

1500 gal 2 comp tank w/a 375 sq ft mound.



### Preliminary Evaluation Worksheet



1. Contact Information v 04.01.2020									
Property Owner/Client: Cory Wensmann Date Completed: 6/3/2022									
Site Address: Project ID:									
Email: Phone: 3202327608									
Mailing Addross: 9281 bwy238 Bowlus MN 56314									
Parcel ID: 310451000 SEC: 36 TWP: 128 RNG: 031									
2. Flow and General System Information									
A. Client-Provided Information         Project Type:          \u03c6 New Construction          Project Use:          \u03c6 Newidential          Other Establishment:									
Residential use: # Bedrooms: 3 Dwelling Sq.ft.: Unfinished Sq. Ft.:									
# Adults: 1 # Children: # Teenagers:									
In-home business (Y/N): No If yes, describe:									
□       Garbage Disposal/Grinder       □       Dishwasher       □       Hot Tub*         Water-using devices:       □       Sewage pump in basement       □       Water Softener*       □       Sump Pump*         (check all that apply)       □       Large Bathtub >40 gallons       □       Iron Filter*       □       Self-Cleaning Humidifier*         □       Clothes Washing Machine       □       High Eff. Furnace*       □       Other:       □									
Additional current or future uses:									
Anticipated non-domestic waste:									
The above is complete & accurate:									
Client signature & date									
B. Designer-determined flow Information Attach additional information as necessary.									
Design Flow: GPD Anticipated Waste Type:									
BOD: mg/L TSS mg/L Oil & Grease mg/L									
3. Preliminary Site Information									
A. Water Supply Wells									
Well Depth Casing Confining STA									
#         Description         Mn. ID#         (ft.)         Depth (ft.)         Layer         Setback         Source           1         no well vet         Image: Setback         Setback         Source         Image: Setback         Source									
2									
Additional Well Information:									

Site within 200' of noncommunity transient well (Y/N)       No       Yes, source:         Site within a drinking water supply management area (Y/N)       No       Yes, source:         Site in Well Head Protection inner wellhead management zone (Y/N)       No       Yes, source:         Buried water supply pipes within 50 ft of proposed system (Y/N)       No       Yes, source:         Buried water supply pipes within 50 ft of proposed system (Y/N)       No         B. Site located in a shoreland district/area?       No       Yes, name:         Elevation of ordinary high water level:       ft       Source:         Classification:       Tank Setback:       ft.       STA Setbk:         ft.       C. Site located in a floodplain?       Yes, Type(s):       Ft         Floodplain designation/elevation (10 Year):       ft       Source:       Source:         Floodplain designation/elevation (10 Year):       ft       Source:       Source:         D. Property Line Id / Source:       Owner       Survey       County GIS       Plat Map       Other:         a. ID distance of relevant setbacks on map:       Water       Easements       Well(s)       Building(s)       Property Lines       OHML       Other:         d. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description)       Map Units:       200							
Site within a drinking water supply management area (Y/N)       No       Yes, source:         Site in Well Head Protection inner wellhead management zone (Y/N)       No       Yes, source:         Buried water supply pipes within 50 ft of proposed system (Y/N)       No       Yes, name:         Buried water supply pipes within 50 ft of proposed system (Y/N)       No       Yes, name:         B. Site located in a shoreland district/area?       No       Yes, name:         Classification:       Tank Setback:       ft.       STA Setbk:         Classification:       Tank Setback:       ft.       STA Setbk:         Floodplain designation/elevation (10 Year):       The Source:       Source:         Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year):       ft       Source:         D. Property Line Id / Source:       Owner       Survey       County GIS       Plat Map       Other:         E. ID distance of relevant setbacks on map:       Water       Easements       Well(s)       Building(s)       Property Lines       OHWL       Other:         4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description)       Map Units:       Z00c       Slope Range:       8 to 15       %         List landforms:       hillslopes and moraines       Landform position(s):       Shoulder       Parent materials:       Ti							
Site in Well Head Protection inner wellhead management zone (Y/N) No Yes, source: Buried water supply pipes within 50 ft of proposed system (Y/N) No B. Site located in a shoreland district/area? No Yes, name: Elevation of ordinary high water level: ft Source: Classification: Tank Setback: ft. STA Setbk: ft. C. Site located in a floodplain? Yes, Type(s): Floodplain designation/elevation (10 Year): ft Source: Floodplain designation/elevation (10 Year): ft Source: Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year): ft Source: D. Property Line Id / Source: Owner Survey County GIS Plat Map Other: E. ID distance of relevant setbacks on map: Water Easements Well(s) Building(s) Property Lines OHWL Other: 4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description) Map Units: 200c Slope Range: 8 to 15 % List landforms: hillslopes and moraines Landform position(s): Shoulder Parent materials: Till Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature: 24 in Depth to Watertable: in							
Buried water supply pipes within 50 ft of proposed system (Y/N)       No         B. Site located in a shoreland district/area?       No       Yes, name:         Elevation of ordinary high water level:       ft       Source:         Classification:       Tank Setback:       ft.       STA Setbk:       ft.         C. Site located in a floodplain?       Yes, Type(s):       Tank Setback:       ft       Source:							
B. Site located in a shoreland district/area?       No       Yes, name:         Elevation of ordinary high water level:       ft       Source:         Classification:       Tank Setback:       ft.       STA Setbk:         Cl. Site located in a floodplain?       Yes, Type(s):       ft.         Floodplain designation/elevation (10 Year):       ft       Source:         Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year):       ft       Source:         Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year):       ft       Source:         D. Property Line Id / Source:       Øwner       Survey       County GIS         E. ID distance of relevant setbacks on map:       Water       Easements       Well(s)         Building(s)       Property Lines       OHWL       Other:         4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description)       Map Units:       200c       Slope Range:       8 to 15       %         List landforms:       hillslopes and moraines       Landform position(s):       Shoulder       Parent materials:       Till         Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature:       24       in       Depth to Watertable:       in							
Elevation of ordinary high water level:       ft       Source:         Classification:       Tank Setback:       ft.       STA Setbk:       ft.         C. Site located in a floodplain?       Yes, Type(s):       Image: State							
Classification: Tank Setback: ft. STA Setbk: ft. C. Site located in a floodplain? Yes, Type(s): Floodplain designation/elevation (10 Year): ft Source: Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year): ft Source: D. Property Line Id / Source: Owner Survey County GIS Plat Map Other: E. ID distance of relevant setbacks on map: Water Easements Well(s) Building(s) Property Lines OHWL Other: 4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description) Map Units: 200c Slope Range: 8 to 15 % List landforms: hillslopes and moraines Landform position(s): Shoulder Parent materials: Till Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature: 24 in Depth to Watertable: in							
C. Site located in a floodplain? Floodplain designation/elevation (10 Year): Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year): Floodplain designation (100 Year): Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year): Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year): Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year): Floodplain designation (100 Year): Floodplain designation (100 Year): Floodplain designat							
Floodplain designation/elevation (10 Year): ft Source:   Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year): ft Source:   D. Property Line Id / Source: Owner Survey County GIS Plat Map   County GIS Plat Map Other: Other:   E. ID distance of relevant setbacks on map: Water Easements Well(s)   Building(s) Property Lines OHWL Other:   4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description)   Map Units: 200c Slope Range: 8 to 15 %   List landforms: hillslopes and moraines   Landform position(s): Shoulder   Parent materials: Till   Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature: 24 in Depth to Watertable:							
Floodplain designation/elevation (100 Year): ft Source:   D. Property Line Id / Source: Owner Survey County GIS Plat Map   County GIS Plat Map Other:   E. ID distance of relevant setbacks on map:   Water Easements Well(s)   Building(s) Property Lines OHWL   OHWL   Other:    4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description)   Map Units: 200c   Slope Range: 8 to 15   List landforms: hillslopes and moraines   Landform position(s): Shoulder   Parent materials: Till   Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature: 24   in Depth to Watertable:							
D. Property Line Id / Source:       Owner       Survey       County GIS       Plat Map       Other:         E. ID distance of relevant setbacks on map:       Water       Easements       Well(s)         Building(s)       Property Lines       OHWL       Other:         4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description)         Map Units:       200c       Slope Range:       & to 15         List landforms:       hillslopes and moraines         Landform position(s):       Shoulder         Parent materials:       Till         Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature:       24       in       Depth to Watertable:       in							
E. ID distance of relevant setbacks on map: Water   Building(s) Property Lines   OHWL Other:   4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description)   Map Units: 200c   Slope Range: 8 to 15 %   List landforms: hillslopes and moraines   Landform position(s): Shoulder   Parent materials: Till   Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature: 24 in   Depth to Watertable: in							
□ Building(s)       ✓ Property Lines       OHWL       Other:         4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description)         Map Units:       200c       Slope Range:       8 to 15 %         List landforms:       hillslopes and moraines         Landform position(s):       Shoulder         Parent materials:       Till         Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature:       24 in       Depth to Watertable:       in							
4. Preliminary Soil Profile Information From Web Soil Survey (attach map & description)         Map Units:       200c       Slope Range:       8 to 15 %         List landforms:       hillslopes and moraines         Landform position(s):       Shoulder         Parent materials:       Till         Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature:       24 in       Depth to Watertable:       in							
Map Units:       200c       Slope Range:       8 to 15       %         List landforms:       hillslopes and moraines							
List landforms: hillslopes and moraines Landform position(s): Shoulder Parent materials: Till Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature: 24 in Depth to Watertable: in							
Landform position(s): Shoulder Parent materials: Till Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature: 24 in Depth to Watertable: in							
Parent materials: Till Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature: 24 in Depth to Watertable: in							
Depth to Bedrock/Restrictive Feature: 24 in Depth to Watertable: in							
Septic Tank Absorption Field- At-grade: Slightly Limited							
Map Unit Ratings Septic Tank Absorption Field- Mound: Extremely Limited							
Septic Tank Absorption Field- Trench: Slightly Limited							
5. Local Government Unit Information							
Name of LGU: morrison county							
LGU Contact:							
LGU-specific setbacks:							
LGU-specific design requirements:							
LGU-specific installation requirements:							



### Field Evaluation Worksheet



1. Project Information		v 04.01.2020							
Property Owner/Client: Cory	Wensmann	Project ID:							
Site Address:		Date Completed: 6/3/2022							
2. Utility and Structure Informati	ion								
Utility Locations Identified 🗌 Gopt	her State One Call #	Any Private Utilities:							
Locate and Verify (see Site Evaluat	tion map) Existing Buildings In	nprovements Easements Setbacks							
3. Site Information									
Vegetation type(s):	Ag. Land Lands	scape position: Shoulder							
Percent slope: 2	% Slope shape: Linear, Linea	r Slope direction: north							
Describe the flooding or run-or	Describe the flooding or run-on potential of site:								
Describe the need for Type III or Type IV system:									
Note:									
Proposed soil treatment area	protected? (Y/N): Yes If	yes, describe: flags							
4. General Soils Information									
Filled, Compacted, Disturbed are	eas (Y/N): No								
If yes, describe:									
Soil ob	servations were conducted in the propo	sed system location (Y/N): Yes							
A soil ob	oservation in the most limiting area of t	he proposed system (Y/N): Yes							
Number of soil observa	ations: 4 Soil observ	vation logs attached (Y/N): Yes							
	Percolation tests per	rformed & attached (Y/N): No							
5. Phase I. Reporting Information	1								
De	epth Elevation								
Limiting Condition*:	24 in <b>95.0</b> ft *Mo	ost Restrictive Depth Identified from List Below							
Periodically saturated soil:	in ft	Soil Texture: medium sandy loam							
Standing water:	in ft	Percolation Rate: min/inch							
Bedrock:	in ft So	oil Hyd Loading Rate: 0.68 gpd/ft <sup>2</sup>							
Benchmark Elevation:	100.0 ft Elevations and I	Benchmark on map? (Y/N): Yes							
Benchmark Elevation Location:	site pad								
Differences between soil survey and	d field evaluation:								
Site evaluation is	ssues / comments:								
Anticipated construction issues:	:								



### Field Evaluation Worksheet



1. Project Information		v 04.01.2020							
Property Owner/Client: Cory	Wensmann	Project ID:							
Site Address:		Date Completed: 6/3/2022							
2. Utility and Structure Informati	ion								
Utility Locations Identified 🗌 Gopt	her State One Call #	Any Private Utilities:							
Locate and Verify (see Site Evaluat	tion map) Existing Buildings In	nprovements Easements Setbacks							
3. Site Information									
Vegetation type(s):	Ag. Land Lands	scape position: Shoulder							
Percent slope: 2	% Slope shape: Linear, Linea	r Slope direction: north							
Describe the flooding or run-or	Describe the flooding or run-on potential of site:								
Describe the need for Type III or Type IV system:									
Note:									
Proposed soil treatment area	protected? (Y/N): Yes If	yes, describe: flags							
4. General Soils Information									
Filled, Compacted, Disturbed are	eas (Y/N): No								
If yes, describe:									
Soil ob	servations were conducted in the propo	sed system location (Y/N): Yes							
A soil ob	oservation in the most limiting area of t	he proposed system (Y/N): Yes							
Number of soil observa	ations: 4 Soil observ	vation logs attached (Y/N): Yes							
	Percolation tests per	rformed & attached (Y/N): No							
5. Phase I. Reporting Information	1								
De	epth Elevation								
Limiting Condition*:	24 in <b>95.0</b> ft *Mo	ost Restrictive Depth Identified from List Below							
Periodically saturated soil:	in ft	Soil Texture: medium sandy loam							
Standing water:	in ft	Percolation Rate: min/inch							
Bedrock:	in ft So	oil Hyd Loading Rate: 0.68 gpd/ft <sup>2</sup>							
Benchmark Elevation:	100.0 ft Elevations and I	Benchmark on map? (Y/N): Yes							
Benchmark Elevation Location:	site pad								
Differences between soil survey and	d field evaluation:								
Site evaluation is	ssues / comments:								
Anticipated construction issues:	:								



# Design Summary Page

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

					04.04.0000					
1. PROJECT INFORMATION					v 04.01.2020					
Property Owner/Client: Cory Wens	smann			Project ID:						
Site Address:				Date:	06/03/22					
Email Address:				Phone:	3202327608					
2. DESIGN FLOW & WASTE STRENGTH	2. DESIGN FLOW & WASTE STRENGTH Attach data / estimate basis for Other Establishments									
Design Flow:	450	GPD	Anticipated V	Vaste Type:						
BOD:		mg/L TSS:	mg/L Oi	l & Grease:	mg/L					
Treatment Level:	С	Select Treatment	t Level C for residential s	eptic tank effl	uent					
3. HOLDING TANK SIZING										
Minimum Capacity: Residential =400 gal	/bedroom, C	ther Establishme	ent = Design Flow x 5.0,	Minimum siz	e 1000 gallons					
Code Minimum Holding Tank Capacity:		Gallons i	n Tanks	or Compart	ments					
Recommended Holding Tank Capacity:		Gallons i	n Tanks	or Compart	ments					
Type of High Level Alarm:			(Set @	9 75% tank c	apacity)					
Comments:										
4. SEPTIC TANK SIZING										
A. Residential dwellings:										
Number of Bedrooms (Residential):	3									
Code Minimum Septic Tank Capacity:	1000	Gallons i	n Tanks	or Compart	ments					
Recommended Septic Tank Capacity:	1000	Gallons i	n Tanks	or Compart	ments					
Effluent Screen & Alarm (Y/N):	No	Model/Ty	pe:							
B. Other Establishments:										
Waste received by:			GPD x	Days Hyd. R	etention Time					
Code Minimum Septic Tank Capacity:		Gallons I	n Tanks	or Compart	ments					
Recommended Septic Tank Capacity:		Gallons I	n Tanks	or Compart	ments					
Effluent Screen & Alarm (Y/N):		Model/Ty	pe:							
5. PUMP TANK SIZING										
Pump Tank 1 Capacity (Minimum):		Gal P	ump Tank 2 Capacity	(Minimum):	Gal					
Pump Tank 1 Capacity (Recommended):		Gal Pump T	ank 2 Capacity (Reco	mmended):	Gal					
Pump 1 GPM Total Head		ft Pum	p 2 GPM	Total Head	ft					
Supply Pipe Dia. in Dose Vol:		gal Supply	Pipe Dia.	Dose Vol:	Gal					
		•								

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	E and a
ONSITE	-E100
SEWAGE	
TREATMENT	
PROGRAM	FALL

Design Summary Page



6. SYSTEM AND DIS	TRIBUTION TYP	E F	Project ID:						
Soil Treatment Type:	Mound	Di	stribution Type	Pressure Distribution-	Level				
Elevation Benchmark:	100	ft Bench	mark Location:	site pad					
MPCA System Type:	Type I	Dis	tribution Media	: Rock					
Type III/IV Details:	_								
7. SITE EVALUATION	I SUMMARY:								
Describe Limiting Cond	ition: Redoxin	orphic Features/Satu	rated Soils						
Layers with >35% Ro	ck Fragments? (	yes/no) No If yes	, describe below	w: % rock and layer thick	ness, amount	of			
Note:									
	Death	Death		f Limiting Condition					
Limiting Cond	ition: 24	inches 2.0 f	t						
Minimum Req'd Separa	ation: 36	inches 3.0 f	t <sub>Elevation</sub>	∟ Critical for syste	em compliance	е			
Code Max System D	epth: Mound	inches -1.0 f	t 3.00	ft					
This is the maximimum depth	This is the maximimum depth to the bottom of the distribution media for required separation. Negative Depth (ft) means it must be a mound.								
Soil Texture:		Loam							
Soil Hyd. Loading	Rate: 0.60	GPD/ft <sup>2</sup> P	ercolation Rate	:MPI					
Contour Loading	Rate: 6	Note:							
Measured Land S	lope: 2.0	% Note:							
Comm	ents:								
8. SOIL TREATMENT	AREA DESIGN S	UMMARY							
Dispersal Area	ft <sup>2</sup>	Sidewall Depth	in	Trench Width	ft	:			
Total Lineal Feet	ft	No. of Trenches		Code Max. Trench Depth	in	n l			
Contour Loading Rate	ft	Length	ft	Designed Trench Depth	in	ı			
Bed:									
Dispersal Area	ft <sup>2</sup>	Sidewall Depth	in	Maximum Bed Depth	in	1			
Bed Width	ft	Bed Length	ft	Designed Bed Depth	in	1			
Mound:		F							
Dispersal Area	375.0 ft <sup>2</sup>	Bed Length	37.5 ft	Bed Width	10.0 ft				
Absorption Width	20.0 ft	Clean Sand Lift	1.0 ft	Berm Width (0-1%)	10.0 ft				
Upslope Berm Width	10.4 ft	Downslope Berm	14.0 ft	Endslope Berm Width	12.0 ft				
Total System Length	61.5 ft	System Width	34.4 ft	Contour Loading Rate	12.0 ga	ıl/ft			



Design Summary Page



	Project ID:								
At-Grade:	At-Grade:								
	Bed Width		ft	Bed Length		ft Finished Height ft			
Contour Lo	oading Rate		gal/ft Uj	oslope Berm		ft	Downslope	Bermft	
Enc	Islope Berm		ft Sys	stem Length		ft	System \	Width ft	
Level & Equ	Level & Equal Pressure Distribution								
No.	of Laterals	0	Perfora	tion Spacing	0	ft Per	foration Diar	neter 0 in	
Lateral Diameter 0.00 in Min Dose Volume 0 gal Max Dose Volume 113 gal									
Non-Level	and Unequa	l Pressure D	Distribution						
	Elevation (ft)	Pipe Size (in)	Pipe Volume (gal/ft)	Pipe Length (ft)	Perf Size (in)	Spacing (ft)	Spacing (in)	Minimum Dose	
Lateral 1								Volume	
Lateral 2								gal	
Lateral 3									
Lateral 4								Maximum Dose	
Lateral 5								Volume	
Lateral 6								gal	
9. Addit	ional Info fo	or At-Risk,	HSW or Typ	e IV Design					
A. Starti	ng BOD Cond	centration =	Design Flow	X Starting B	OD (mg/L)	X 8.35 ÷ 1.0	00,000		
	gpd	X	mg/L	X 8.35 ÷ 1,0	00,001 =		lbs. BOD/da	Ŋ	
B. Targe	t BOD Conce	entration =	Design Flow	X Target BO	D (mg/L) X	8.35 ÷ 1.000	_ ).000		
	gpd	x	mg/L	X 8.35 ÷ 1,0	00,001 =		lbs. BOD/da	Ŋ	
		I	] 	os. BOD To Be	e Removed:		]		
Pre	Treatment <sup>-</sup>	Technology:					*Must	Meet or Exceed Target	
ח	isinfection 7	Technology:					*Requ	ired for Levels A & B	
C Organ	ic Loading t	o Soil Treatu	ment Area:				nequ		
						<b></b>	]. <sub>2</sub> [		
	mg/L	X	gpd	x 8.35 ÷ 1,0	00,000 ÷		ft <sup>2</sup> =	lbs./day/ft <sup>2</sup>	
10. Comn	nents/Specia	al Design Co	onsideration	IS:					
l here	by certify th	nat I have co	mpleted thi	s work in ac	cordance wi	th all applic	able ordinan	ices, rules and laws.	
Kily-	Thrown	۲	Ku			40	018	6-3-22	
	(Designer)			(Signatu	re)	(L	icense #)	(Date)	



Mound Design Worksheet ≥1% Slope



1.	SYSTE	M SIZIN	G:			Proje	ct ID:				v 0	4.01.2020
⊿	. Design	Flow:			4	50	GPD		TAE	BLE IXa	1	
B	. Soil Lo	ading R	ate:		0.	.60	GPD/ft <sup>2</sup>	LOADING RATES FOR DETERMINING BOTTOM ABSORPTION AREA AND ABSORPTION RATIOS USING PERCOLATION TESTS				TION AREA
c	. Depth	to Limi	ting C	Condition	2	.0	ft		Treatmen	nt Level C	Treatment Le	vel A, A-2, B,
D	. Percer	it Land	Slope	:	2	.0	%	Percolation Rate (MPI)	Absorption Area Loading Rate	Mound Absorption Ratio	Absorption Area Loading Rate (apd/ft <sup>2</sup> )	Mound Absorption Ratio
E	. Design	Media	Loadi	ng Rate:	1	.2	GPD/ft <sup>2</sup>	<0.1	-	1	-	1
F	. Mound	Absorp	tion F	Ratio:	2.	.00	1	0.1 to 5	1.2	1	1.6	1
		-		Table I				0.1 to 5 (fine sand and loamy fine sand)	0.6	2	1	1.6
		MOUN	ID CON	TOUR LOADING	RATES:			6 to 15	0.78	1.5	1	1.6
	Measure	d ←	Те	exture - derived		Conto	bur	16 to 30	0.6	2	0.78	2
	Perc Rat	e OR	mour	nd absorption rat	io	Loadi Rate	ng a:	31 to 45	0.5	2.4	0.78	2
		$\rightarrow$	-		۰.	10000		46 to 60	0.45	2.6	0.6	2.6
	≤ 60mp	i	1.0,	1.3, 2.0, 2.4, 2.	6 →	≤12		>120			0.3	5.3
	61-120 m	opi OR		5.0	_→	≤12						
			⊢		-		*	Systems with th	nese value	es are not	Type I sys	stems.
	$\geq$ 120 mpi <sup>*</sup> $\rightarrow$ $\leq$ 6 <sup>*</sup> Contour Loading Rate (inear toading rate) is a recommended value.											
2												
۷.	Coloul			Red Areas Do	sian El	014 · D	ocian Mo	dia Loading Dat				
4				beu Area: Des		0w ÷ D						
		45	0	GPD ÷	1	.2	GPD/ft <sup>2</sup>	= 375	ft²			
	li	f a larg	er dis	persal media a	area is	desire	d, enter s	size:	ft <sup>2</sup>			
R	Entor I	Disners	al Roc	Width	1(	0		an not exceed 1	10 feet			
	Coloul			anding Datas	Ded M	/:d+h V						
Ľ		ate Cor			bed w				ate	_		
		1(	)	ft <sup>2</sup> X 1.	.2	GPD/f	ft² =	12.0 gal/	/ft	Can not e	exceed Ta	ble 1
D	. Calcul	ate Min	imum	Dispersal Bed	Lengt	h: Disp	ersal Bec	Area ÷ Bed W	idth			
		37	5	ft <sup>2</sup> ÷ 10	.0	ft =	37.5	ft				
3.	ABSOR	PTION	AREA	SIZING								
Δ	. Calcula	ate Abs	orntio	on Width: Bed	Width	Х Мог	und Absor	ption Ratio				
-	]	10	0		0	]	20.0	ft				
	Į	10	.0		.0	_	20.0					
B	. For slo	pes >1%	%, the	Absorption W	idth is	measu	ured down	nhill from the u	pslope ed	ge of the	Bed.	
	Calcul	ate Dov	vnslop	e Absorption '	Width	: Absor	ption Wic	lth - Bed Width	n			
					20	0.0	ft -	10.0 ft	= 10	.0 ft		
4.	DISTRI	BUTIO	N MED	DIA: ROCK			<u> </u>	Project I	D:			
Δ	. Rock I	Depth P	elow	Distribution P	ipe			-				
	4		in	0.50	f+							
			111	0.50	ιι							

5.	DISTRIBUTION MEDIA: REGISTER	RED TREATM	ENT PRO	DUCTS: CHA	MBERS AND	EZFLO	N	
A.	Enter Dispersal Media:							
В.	Enter the Component: Length:		ft	Width:		ft I	Depth:	ft
С.	Number of Components per Row	= Bed Length	n divideo	d by Compone	ent Length (	Round u	p)	
	ft ÷	ft =		compo	onents/row	Cheo	k regis	tered product
D.	Actual Bed Length = Number of	Components/	row X C	omponent Le	ngth:	info	ormatio	n for specific
	components	Х		ft =		арр	lication	n details and
Ε.	Number of Rows = Bed Width div	vided by Com	ponent \	Width (Round	up)		Ű	sign
	ft ÷	ft =		rows	Adjust widt	h so this i	is a who	le number.
F.	Total Number of Components =	Number of Co	mponer	nts per Row X	Number of	Rows		
	Х	=		compo	onents			
6.	MOUND SIZING							
Α.	Clean Sand Lift: Required Separ	ation - Depth	to Limit	ting Conditior	n = Clean Sa	nd Lift (	1 ft mir	nimum)
	3.0 ft - 2.0 ft =	1.0	ft	Design Sand I	Lift (optiona	al):		ft
В.	Upslope Height: Clean Sand Lift	+ Depth of N	\edia +D	epth to Cove	r Pipe+ Dep	th of Co	ver (1 f	t)
	1.0 ft + 0.50	ft + (	0.3	ft + 1	.0 ft =	- 2	.8	ft
	Land Slope % 0 1	2 3	4	5 6	7 8	9	10	11 12
Up	slope Berm 3:1 3.00 2.91 Batio 4:1 4:00 3.85	2.83 2.75	2.68	2.61 2.54	2.48 2.42	2 2.36	2.31	2.26 2.21
	Calast Hadage Darm Multiplier	(hand an lan	<u> </u>		70	2.54	2.00	2.70 2.70
	Select Upslope Berm Multiplier	Dased on land	a stope)	: <u> </u>	70			
U.	Calculate Upslope Berm width:					10.4	١	
	Coloulate Duon in Elevation Und	3.70		Z.o	TT =	10.4	π	
с.	Calculate prop in Elevation ond			Land Stope ÷	100 = Drop (		20	£4.
	Calculate Deversions Marind Hai			Z.U	% ÷ 100 *	= 0.	20	Τ
Г.	Calculate Downslope Mound Hei			• Drop in Elev		2.0	<u>د</u>	
	Land Slana 9/ 0 1	2.0	1 +	0.20		3.0		11 12
	Downslope 3:1 3.00 3.09	3.19 3.30	3.41	3.53 3.66	3.80 3.95	9 4.11	4.29	4.48 4.69
E	erm Ratio 4:1 4.00 4.17	4.35 4.54	4.76	5.00 5.26	5.56 5.88	6.25	6.67	7.14 7.69
G	Select Downslope Berm Multiplie	er (based on l	and slop	be): 4.	35			
H.	Calculate Downslope Berm Widt	h: Downslope	Multipl	ier X Downslo	ope Height			
		4.35	x	3.0	ft =	13.1	ft	
١.	Calculate Minimum Berm to Cov	er Absorption	Area: D	Downslope Ab	sorption Wi	dth + 4 f	eet	
		10.0	ft +	4	ft =	14.0	ft	
J.	Design Downslope Berm = greate	er of 4H and 4	41:	14.0	ft			
К.	Select Endslope Berm Multiplier	:		4.	00	(usual	ly 3.0 d	or 4.0)
L.	Calculate Endslope Berm X Dow	nslope Mound	d Height	= Endslope I	Berm Width			
		4.00	ft X	3.0	ft =	12.0	ft	
м	. Calculate Mound Width: Upslope	Berm Width	+ Bed W	/idth + Downs	slope Berm '	Width	J	
	1	0.4 ft +	10	.0 ft +	14.0	ft =	34	.4 ft
N.	Calculate Mound Length: Endslo	pe Berm Widt	:h + Bec	d Length + Er	ndslope Beri	n Width	Į	]
	- 1	2.0 ft +	37	′.5 ft +	12.0	ft =	61	.5 ft
			L				L	





Mound Materials Worksheet



Project ID: v 04.01	.2020
A. Rock Volume: (Rock Below Pipe + Rock to cover pipe (pipe outside dia + ~2 inch)) X Bed Length X Bed Width = Volu	me
( <u>6</u> in + <u>4.0</u> in ) ÷ 12 X <u>37.5</u> ft X <u>10.0</u> ft = <u>312.5</u> f	t <sup>3</sup>
Divide ft <sup>3</sup> by 27 ft <sup>3</sup> /yd <sup>3</sup> to calculate cubic yards: $312.5$ ft <sup>3</sup> ÷ 27 = $11.6$ y	vd <sup>3</sup>
Add 30% for constructability: $11.6   yd^3 X   1.3 = 15.0   y$	٧d³
B. Calculate Clean Sand Volume:	
Volume Under Rock bed : Average Sand Depth × Media Width × Media Length = cubic feet	-
1.0 ft X 10.0 ft X 37.5 ft = 375.0 ft	t <sup>3</sup>
For a Mound on a slope from 0-1%	
Volume from Length = ((Upslope Mound Height - 1) X Absorption Width Beyond Bed X Media Bed Length)2.00ft - 1)X5.00X37.5ft=187.50	
Volume from Width = ((Upslope Mound Height - 1) X Absorption Width Beyond Bed X Media Bed Width)	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
Total Clean Sand Volume : Volume from Length + Volume from Width + Volume Under Media	
$187.5  ft^3 +  50.0  ft^3 +  375  ft^3 =  612.5  ft^3$	
For a Mound on a slope greater than 1%	
Upslope Volume : ((Upslope Mound Height - 1) x 3 x Bed Length) ÷ 2 = cubic feet	2
$((2.8 \text{ ft} - 1) \text{ X} 3.0 \text{ ft} \text{ X} 37.5) \div 2 = 101.3 \text{ ft}$	ť
Downslope Volume : ((Downslope Height - 1) x Downslope Absorption Width x Media Length) $\div$ 2 = cubic feet	. 3
$((3.0 \text{ ft} - 1) \text{ X} (10.0 \text{ ft} \text{ X} 37.5) \div 2 = 375.0 \text{ ft}$	ť
Endslope Volume : (Downslope Mound Height - 1) x 3 x Media Width = cubic feet (30) ft - 1) x 3 0 ft x 100 ft = 600 ft	·+3
	L
10tal Clean Sana Volume : Upslope Volume + Downslope Volume + Enaslope Volume + Volume Under Media101 3 ft3 + 375 0 ft3 + 60 0 ft3 + 375 0 ft3 - 911 3 ft	÷ <b>-</b> 3
	.3
Divide ft' by 27 ft'/yd' to calculate cubic yards: $911.3$ ft' ÷ 27 = 33.8 y	′d²
Add 30% for constructability: $33.8$ yd <sup>3</sup> X 1.3 = 43.9 y	٬d³
C. Calculate Sandy Berm Volume:	
Total Berm Volume (approx) : ((Avg. Mound Height - 0.5 ft topsoil) x Mound Width x Mound Length) $\div$ 2(2.9-0.5)ft X34.4ft X61.5) $\div$ 2 = 2535.8ft	t <sup>3</sup>
Total Mound Volume - Clean Sand volume -Rock Volume = cubic feet	
2535.8 $ft^3$ - 911.3 $ft^3$ - 312.5 $ft^3$ = 1312.0 $ft^3$	t <sup>3</sup>
Divide $ft^3$ by 27 $ft^3/yd^3$ to calculate cubic yards: 1312.0 $ft^3 \div 27 = 48.6$ y	vd <sup>3</sup>
Add 30% for constructability: $48.6$ yd <sup>3</sup> x 1.3 = $63.2$ y	vd <sup>3</sup>
<b>D.</b> Calculate Topsoil Material Volume: Total Mound Width X Total Mound Length X .5 ft	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	t <sup>3</sup>
Divide ft <sup>3</sup> by 27 ft <sup>3</sup> /yd <sup>3</sup> to calculate cubic yards: 1056.6 ft <sup>3</sup> $\div$ 27 = 39.1 y	vd <sup>3</sup>
Add 30% for constructability: $39.1 \text{ yd}^3 \text{ x} 1.3 = 50.9 \text{ y}$	/d <sup>3</sup>





				ſ	Project	ID:				v 0	4.01.2020
1. Media Bed Widt	h:					10 ft					
2. Minimum Numb	er of Late	erals in s	system/z	zone = R	ounded	up number of [(/	Media Be	ed Width	n - 4) ÷ 3	] + 1.	
	[(	10	- 4 )	÷ 3] + 1	=	3 later	als	Does	not app	ly to at	-grades
<ol> <li>Designer Selector</li> <li>Cannot be less</li> <li>Select Perforation</li> </ol>	ed Numb than line ion Spaci	er of La 2 (Exce ng:	aterals : pt in at-	grades)		3 laterals 3.00 ft					
5. Select Perforati	on Diam	eter Size	<b>.</b>			1/4 in	12 1/4" perfora	Geote tions spaced 3' ap	ctile part 12	um of rock	- 12- +
6. Length of Later	als = Me	dia Bed	Length -	2 Feet.	L		Perf	€ 6° of rock oration sizing: ½	" to '/4" Perfor	ration spacing: 2'	to 3'
37.5	- 2f		35	5 f		prforation can no	t he clo	sor thon	1 foot i	from edu	ο
7. Determine the <i>l</i> round down to t	Number of the neare	of Perfor est whole	ration Sp e numbe	paces. E	ivide th	e Length of Late	erals by	the Per	foratior	n Spacin	g and
Number of Perf	oration S	paces =	35	.5 ft	t	÷ 3.0	ft	=	11	Spa	aces
<ol> <li>Number of Performers</li> <li>verify the number with a center merity</li> </ol>	orations er of per anifold. rforatior	per Late foratior ns Per Lo	eral is ed is per lat iteral =	qual to 1 teral gua	.0 plus arantees Sp	the <i>Number of P</i> s less than a 10% paces + 1 =	erforati discharg	on Space ge variat 2	es . Che tion. Th Perfs. Pe	ck table e value er Latera	e below to is double al
	Max	imum Num	ber of Perf	forations P	er Lateral	to Guarantee <10% Di	scharge Va	ariation			
	1∕₄ Inch I	Perforation	ns				7/32	Inch Perfo	rations		
Perforation Spacing (Feet)		Pipe I	Diameter (I	nches)		Perforation Spacing		Pipe I	Diameter (I	nches)	
2	1	11/4	11/2	2	3	(Feet)	1	11/4	11/2	2	3
2	10	13	18	30	60 54	2 210	10	16	20	34	64
3	8	12	16	25	52	3	9	14	19	30	60
	3/16 Inch	Perforatio	ons				1/8	nch Perfor	ations		
Perforation Spacing (Feet)		Pipe (	Diameter (I	nches)		Perforation Spacing		Pipe I	Diameter (I	nches)	
·····	1	11/4	11/2	2	3	(Feet)	1	11/4	11/2	2	3
2	12	18	26	46	8/	2	21	33	44	/4	149
3	12	1/	24	37	75	3	20	29	38	64	135
clean outs	r	aanifold pipe	alt	e from pump ternate locat pipe from pu	ion	A Realized and the second seco	Manifold pipe		Pipe fr	Alternate of pipe fro	location m pump
9. Total Number o Perforated Late	f Perford prals.	itions e	quals the	e Numbe	r of Per	forations per La	teral m	ultiplied	by the	Number	of
12 Pe	erf. Per L	at. X	greater	B N	lumber o	of Perf. Lat. =	eet.	36 <sup>-</sup>	Total Nu	mber of	Perf.
11. Select Type of /	Manifold	Connect	tion (End	d or Cen	ter):	End	]	L	2.0		
12. Select Lateral D	iameter	(See Ta	ble):			2.00	in				



### Pressure Distribution Design Worksheet



13.	Calculate the Square Feet per Perforation.		Perforat	ion Discharg	e (GPM)	
	Recommended value is 4-11 ft2 per perforation, Does not apply to At-Grades			Perforation	Diameter	
a.	Bed Area = Bed Width (ft) X Bed Length (ft)	Head (ft)	1/8	<sup>3</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	<sup>7</sup> / <sub>32</sub>	1/4
		1.0 <sup>ª</sup>	0.18	0.41	0.56	0.74
	10 ft X 38 ft = 375 ft <sup>2</sup>	1.5	0.22	0.51	0.69	0.9
	Square Fact per Perforation Red Area, thut the Total Number of Perfo	2.0"	0.26	0.59	0.80	1.04
D.	square root per perjoration = bed Area ÷ by the rotal Number of Perjs	3.0	0.32	0.72	0.98	1.28
	375 ft <sup>2</sup> : 36 perf = 10.4 ft <sup>2</sup> /porf	4.0	0.37	0.83	1.13	1.47
		5.0 <sup>c</sup>	0.41	0.93	1.26	1.65
14.	Select Minimum Average Head : 1.0 ft	1 foot	Dwellings w perforations	ith 3/16 incl	n to 1/4 inc	h
15	Colort Derforation Discharge based on Tables 0.74 CDU per Derf		Dwellings w	ith 1/8 inch	perforation	'S
15.	Select Perforation Discharge based on Table: 0.14 GPM per Perf	2 feet	Other estab inch to 1/4	lishments ar inch perfora	d MSTS wit tions	h 3/16
16.	Flow Rate = Total Number of Perfs X Perforation Discharge.	5 feet	Other estab perforations	lishments ar	d MSTS wit	h 1/8 inch
	36 Perfs X 0.74 GPM per Perforation = 27 0	GPM				
17.	Volume of Liquid Per Foot of Distribution Piping (Table II) : 0.170 G	allons	/ft			
18.	Volume of Distribution Piping =			Tab	الما	
	= [Number of Perforated Laterals X Length of Laterals X (Volume of		Volu		fliau	id in
	- [Number of reformed Laterals × Length of Laterals × (volume of		von	nne o Pi	ne De	ia m
			Pi	ine	lio	uid
	3 X $36$ ft X 0.170 mal/ft - 18.1 G	عالمه	Diar	neter	Per	Foot
		attons	(inc	thes)	(Gal	lons)
19.	Minimum Delivered Volume = Volume of Distribution Piping X 4			1	0.0	)45
			1.	25	0.0	078
	18.1 gals X 4 = 72.4 Gallons		1	.5	0.1	10
				2	0.1	70
				3	0.3	880
				4	0.6	61
Comm	nents/Special Design Considerations:					
1						



#### Basic Pump Selection Design Worksheet



1. PUMP CAPACITY		Project ID:						v 04	4.01.2020
Pumping to Gravity or Pressure Distr	ibution:	Pre	ssure						
A If numning to gravity enter the gallon	per minute of th	e pump:			GPM (10 - 45 a	nm)			
A. If pumping to gravity criter the gaton		c pump.		_`		pin)			
<b>B.</b> If pumping to a pressurized distributio	n system:		27.0	(	GPM				
C. Enter pump description:				۵	Demand Dosing				
2. HEAD REQUIREMENTS								Soil tr & poi	eatment system nt of discharge
A. Elevation Difference	7 ft						length		e Oo oO o
between pump and point of discharge			alat size			Supply line			
B. Distribution Head Loss:	5 ft			e   0			Elevation difference	, 	
C Additional Head Loss:	ft (due t	o special equipment	t etc.)					<b>,</b>	
			., etc.)						
Distributio	n Head Loss				Table I.Frictio	on Loss i	n Plastic	Pipe pe	r 100ft
Gravity Distribution = Oft					Flow Rate	Pip	e Diame	ter (inch	es)
Pressure Distribution based of		Average He	ad	ŀ	(GPM) 10	0 1	1.25	1.5	
Value on Pressure Distributio	n Worksheet	t:	uu		12	12.8	43	1.5	0.3
Minimum Average Head	Distribut	ion Head L	oss		14	17.0	5.7	2.4	0.4
1ft	[	5ft			16	21.8	7.3	3.0	0.7
2ft		6ft			18		9.1	3.8	0.9
5ft		10ft			20		11.1	4.6	1.1
					25		16.8	6.9	1.7
D. 1. Supply Pipe Diameter:	2.0 in				30		23.5	9.7	2.4
2 Supply Pipe Length	20 ft				35			12.9	3.2
					40			16.5	4.1
E. Friction Loss in Plastic Pipe per 100f	t from Table I:				50			20.5	6.1
Friction Loss 1.05	ft por 100ft of	ning			55				7.3
		pipe			60				8.6
F. Determine Equivalent Pipe Length fro	m pump discharg	ge to soil dispers	sal area	,	65				10.0
discharge point. Estimate by adding 2 Pine Length X 1 25 - Equivalent Pine	5% to supply pipe	e length for fitti	ing loss. Supp	ply	70				11.4
					75				13.0
20 ft X 1.25	= 2!	5.0 ft			85 95				10.4
G Calculate Supply Friction Loss by mult	inlying Friction	loss Per 100ft h	ov the Fauival	L Ient	Pine Length and	divide bv	100		20.1
Supply Friction Loss =			y the Equival	(ene	The Length and		1001		
1.95 ft per 100ft	X 2	5.0 ft	÷ 10	00	= 0.5	ft			
H. Total Head requirement is the sum of	the Elevation Di	fference + Distr	ribution Head	Loss	s, + Additional He	ad Loss +	Supply F	riction Lo	SS
7.0 ft +	5.0 ft	+	ft +	÷	0.5 ft	: =	12.5	ft	
3. PUMP SELECTION									
A pump must be selected to deliver at	least <b>27</b>	7.0 GPM w	vith at least			12.5	feet	of total h	nead.
Comments:									



#### Pump Tank Design Worksheet (Demand Dose)

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

	DETERA	MINE TANK CAPACITY AND DIMENSIONS		Project ID:		v 04.01.2020
1.	A.	Design Flow (Design Sum.1A):	450	GPD C. Tank Use:		
	В.	Min. required pump tank capacity:	500	Gal D. Recommende	d pump tank capacity:	Gal
2.	A.	Tank Manufacturer: bw		B. Tank Model:		
	C.	Capacity from manufacturer:	504	Gallons	Note: Design calculations are l	based on this specific tank.
	D.	Gallons per inch from manufacturer:	11.0	Gallons per inch	float or timer settings. Contac necessary.	t designer if changes are
	E.	Liquid depth of tank from manufacturer:	46.0	inches	·	
DET	FERMINE			<u></u>		
3	Calculat	te <i>Volume to Cover Pump</i> (The inlet of the pump mu	st be at least 4-incl	nes from the bottom of the p	pump tank & 2 inches of water co	overing the pump is
	(Pump a	and block height + 2 inches) X Gallons Per Inch				
	(, amp (	(4  in + 2 inches) X 1	1.0 Gallons	Per Inch =	66 Gallons	
4	Minimu	um Delivered Volume = 4 X Volume of Distribution P	iping:		I	
	-Item 1	18 of the Pressure Distribution or Item 11 of Non-leve	l	72 Gallons	(Minimum dose)	6.6 inches/dose
5	Calcula	te <b>Maximum</b> Pumpout Volume (25% of Design Flow)		[]		
	Design I	Flow: 450 GPD X	0.25 =	113 Gallons	(Maximum dose)	10.2 inches/dose
6	Select a	a pumpout volume that meets both Minimum and Max	timum:	90 Gallons		
7	Calcula	te Doses Per Day = Design Flow ÷ Delivered Volume			Volume o	f Liquid in
		450 gpd ÷ 90	gal =	5.00 Doses	Pi	ре
8	Calcula	te Drainback:			Pipe	Liquid
	Α.	Diameter of Supply Pipe =		2 inches	Diameter	Per Foot
	В.	Length of Supply Pipe =		20 feet	(inches)	(Gallons)
	6			170	1 25	0.045
	с. р	Volume of Liquid Per Lineal Foot of Pipe =	uid Par Lingal Foot	Gallons/ft	1.25	0.110
	υ.	20 ft X 0.170 gal/ft		Gallons	2	0.170
9.	Total D	osing Volume = Delivered Volume plus Drainback		Guitons	3	0.380
		90 gal + 3.4 gal =	93	Gallons	4	0.661
10.	Minimu	m Alarm Volume = Depth of alarm (2 or 3 inches) X ga	llons per inch of ta	_ .nk		
		2 in X 11.0 gal/in	= 2	2.0 Gallons		
DE/	MAND DC	DSE FLOAT SETTINGS				
11.	Calcula	te Float Separation Distance using Dosing Volume .				
	Total D	osing Volume / Gallons Per Inch	7			
		93 gal ÷ 11.0	gal/in =	8.5 Inches		$\overline{\qquad}$
12.	Measuri	ing from bottom of tank:				
Α.	Distance	e to set Pump Off Float = Pump + block height + 2 in	ches Turchar		Inches for Dose: 8.5 in _	
R	Distant	$4 \qquad 111 + 2 \ln = 6$		ion Distance	Alarm Depth 16.5 In	22.0.62
ь.	אנעונט	6 in + 8.5	in = $\int$	14 Inches	Pump Off 60 in	93 Gal
с.	Distance	e to set Alarm Float = Distance to set Pump-On Float	+ Alarm Depth (2	2-3 inches)		66 Gal
		14 in + 2.0	in =	16 Inches		



PROGRAM						0	Project ID:			v 04.01.202	0
Client:		C	ory Wens	smann		Locati	on / Address:				
Soil parent n	naterial(s): (Cl	heck all th	nat apply)	)	Outwash 🗌 Lacustrin	ie 🗌 Loess 🔽 1	Till 🗌 Alluv	vium 🗌 Bedr	rock 🗌 Organ	ic Matter	
Landscape P	osition: (selec	t one)	Shou	ulder	Slope %: 2.0	Slope shape	Linear	, Linear	Elevation t	-relative to penchmark:	100.0
Vegetation:	Aş	g. Land		Soil	survey map units:		200c		Limiting Layer	Elevation:	95
Weather Cor	nditions/Time	of Day:		sunr	ny 65	1:00	)	Date	0	6/03/22	
Observatio	n #/Location:	1					Obse	ervation Type:		Pit	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix	Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	ŀ	Structure	l	
		Frag. %			.,		( )	Shape	Grade	Consist	tence
0"to8"	Sandy Loam	<35%	10YR	2/2				Granular	Weak	Frial	ole
8"to24"	Sandy Loam	<35%	10YR	4/4				Platy	Weak	Frial	ole
			10/0			Concentrations	C1				
24"	Sandy Loam	<35%	TUTK	. 4/ 4	7.51K 5/4	Concentrations	51	Platy	Moderate	Frial	ole
Comments											
I hereby cert	ifv that I have o	completed	this work	k in accor	dance with all appli	cable ordinances.	rules and laws	S.			
K	ody Throener	1		Kok	y those			4018		6/3/2	022
(Desi	igner/Inspecto	or)	1		(Signature	)	•	(License #)		(Dat	e)



PROGRAM						5	Project ID:			v 04.01.202	0
Client:		C	ory Wens	smann		Locati	ion / Address:				
Soil parent n	naterial(s): (Cl	heck all th	nat apply	)	Outwash 🗌 Lacustrin	e 🗌 Loess 🗸	Till 🗌 Alluv	rium 🗌 Bedi	rock 🗌 Organ	ic Matter	
Landscape P	osition: (selec	t one)	Sho	ulder	Slope %: 2.0	Slope shape	Linear	, Linear	Elevation t	-relative to penchmark:	100.0
Vegetation:	A	g. Land		Soil	survey map units:		200c		Limiting Layer	Elevation:	95
Weather Cor	ditions/Time	of Day:		sunn	ny 65	1:00	)	Date	0	5/03/22	
Observatio	n #/Location:	2	2				Obse	ervation Type:		Pit	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix	Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	[·	Structure	 Consist	
		riag. %	4.01/10	2/2				Snape	Grade	Consist	ence
0"to9"	Sandy Loam	<35%	TUTR	. 212				Granular	Weak	Friat	ole
9"to 25"	Sandy Loam	<35%	10YR	4/4				Platy	Weak	Friat	ole
25"	Sandy Loam	<35%	10YR	4/4	7.5YR 5/4	Concentrations	S1	Platy	Moderate	Friat	ole
Comments											
I hereby cert	ify that I have o	completed	this worl	k in accor	dance with all appli	cable ordinances,	rules and laws	S.			
Ko	ody Throener			KN	2 Thr			4018		6/3/2	022
(Desi	igner/Inspecto	or)	•		0 (Signature	)	•	(License #)		(Dat	e)



PROGRAM						0	Project ID:			v 04.01.202	0
Client:		C	ory Wens	smann		Locati	ion / Address:				
Soil parent n	naterial(s): (Cl	heck all th	nat apply	)	Outwash 🗌 Lacustrin	e 🗌 Loess 🗸 -	Till 🗌 Alluv	vium 🔄 Bedi	rock 🗌 Organ	ic Matter	
Landscape P	osition: (selec	t one)	Sho	ulder	Slope %: 2.0	Slope shape	Linear,	, Linear	Elevation t	-relative to penchmark:	100.0
Vegetation:	A	g. Land		Soil	survey map units:		200c		Limiting Layer	Elevation:	95
Weather Cor	ditions/Time	of Day:		sunr	ıy 65	1:00	)	Date	0	6/03/22	
Observatio	n #/Location:	3	3				Obse	ervation Type:		Pit	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix	Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	[·	Structure	l	
		riag. /o	10/0	2/2				Snape	Grade	Consist	tence
0"to7"	Sandy Loam	<35%	IUTK					Granular	Weak	Friat	ole
7"to26"	Sandy Loam	<35%	10YR	4/4				Platy	Weak	Friał	ole
26"	Sandy Loam	<35%	10YR	4/4	7.5YR 5/4	Concentrations	S1	Blocky	Moderate	Friat	ole
Comments											
I hereby cert	ify that I have o	completed	this worl	k in accor	dance with all appli	cable ordinances,	rules and laws	S.			
Ko	ody Throener			Ki	ym			4018		6/3/2	022
(Desi	igner/Inspecto	or)	i i		(Signature	)	•	(License #)		(Dat	e)



PROGRAM						0	Project ID:			v 04.01.202	0
Client:		C	ory Wens	mann		Locati	on / Address:				
Soil parent n	naterial(s): (Cl	heck all th	nat apply)	)	Outwash 🗌 Lacustrin	e 🗌 Loess 🗸 1	Till 🗌 Alluv	vium 🔄 Bedr	rock 🗌 Organ	ic Matter	
Landscape P	osition: (selec	t one)	Shou	ulder	Slope %: 2.0	Slope shape	Linear,	, Linear	Elevation t	-relative to penchmark:	100.0
Vegetation:	Ag	g. Land		Soil	survey map units:		200c		Limiting Layer	Elevation:	95
Weather Cor	nditions/Time	of Day:		sunr	וא 65	1:00	)	Date	0	6/03/22	
Observatio	n #/Location:	4	1				Obse	ervation Type:		Pit	
Donth (in)	Taytura	Rock	Matrix	Color(c)	Mattle Caler(a)	Doday Kind(a)	Indicator(c)	ŀ	Structure		
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix	Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consist	ence
0"to7"	Sandy Loam	<35%						Granular	Weak	Frial	ole
7"to24"	Sandy Loam	<35%						Platy	Weak	Frial	ole
24"	Sandy Loam	<35%	10YR	4/4	7.5YR 5/4	Concentrations	S1	Platy	Moderate	Frial	ole
Comments											
I hereby cert	ify that I have o	completed	I this work	< in accor	dance with all appli	cable ordinances,	rules and laws	S.			
Ko	ody Throener	-		Krd	y the			4018		6/3/2	022
(Desi	igner/Inspecto	pr)	•		(Signature	)	•	(License #)		(Dat	e)

Textures:	*Sand Modifiers:	Topsoil Indicator(s) of Saturation:	100 .
C Clay	Co Coarse	T1. Wetland Vegetation	
SiC Silty Clay	M Medium	T2. Depressional Landscape	
SC Sandy Clay	F Fine	T3. Organic texture or organic modifiers	
CL Clay Loam	VF Very Fine	T4. N 2.5/ 0 color	70 30 3
SiCL Silty Clay Loam		T5. Redox features in topsoil	60 Clay 40 20
SCL Sandy Clay Loam		T6. Hydraulic indicators	50
Si Silt			3 40 Sandy Clay 60
SiL Silt Loam	Subsoil In	ndicator(s) of Saturation:	30 Clay loam Silty clay Loam 70
L Loam	S1. Distin	ct gray or red redox features	4 Sandy clay
SL Sandy Loam*	S2. Deple	ted matrix (value >/=4 and chroma =2)</th <td>Sitt Idam</td>	Sitt Idam
LS Loamy Sand*	S3. 5Y chi	roma = 3</th <td>10 Cost Sandy loam</td>	10 Cost Sandy loam
S Sand*	S4. 7.5 YF	R or redder faint redox concentrations or redox depletions	2 20 10 20 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10
Shane:			I and scape Position:
Granular	The neds are approximat	ely spherical or polyhedral and are commonly found in topsoil	Landscape i osnion.
<u>oranatar</u>	These are the small rour	nded neds that hang onto roots when soil is turned over	Summit
Platy	The peds are flat and pla	ate like. They are oriented horizontally and are usually	Shoulder
<u>r taty</u>	overlapping. Platy struct	ure is commonly found in forested areas just below the leaf litter	Back/Side
	or shallow topsoil.		East Slape
Blocky	The peds are block-like o	or polybedral, and are bounded by flat or slightly rounded surface	Foot stope
btoenty	that are castings of the f	faces of surrounding peds. Blocky structure is commonly found in	Toe Slope
		(A, A, A, V) and $(A, A)$ $(A)$	
	the lower topsoil and sub	osoil.	Slope Shape:
Prismatic	the lower topsoil and sub	psoil.	Slope Shape:
<u>Prismatic</u>	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac	vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly cess are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions:
<u>Prismatic</u>	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo	vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly ces are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil.	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour):
<u>Prismatic</u> Single Grain	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a	peeds of surrounding peeds. Diversion Structure is commonly round in vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly ces are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
<u>Prismatic</u> <u>Single Grain</u>	that are castings of the f the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a	vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly cess are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade:	that are castings of the f the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a	socil. vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly ces are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil	vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly ces are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose Weak Moderate	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct	rertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly res are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
<u>Prismatic</u> <u>Single Grain</u> Grade: <u>Loose</u> <u>Weak</u> <u>Moderate</u>	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct per	e peds, barely observable in place ds, moderately durable and evident, but not distinct in	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose Weak Moderate	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct peo undisturbed soil	eretical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly eretical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly es are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
<u>Prismatic</u> <u>Single Grain</u> Grade: <u>Loose</u> <u>Weak</u> <u>Moderate</u> <u>Strong</u>	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct peo undisturbed soil Durable peds that are qu	<ul> <li>acces of surrounding peeds. Diverse structure is commonly round in posoil.</li> <li>vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly cess are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil.</li> <li>sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.</li> <li>c peds, barely observable in place</li> <li>ds, moderately durable and evident, but not distinct in nite evident in un-displaced soil, adhere weakly to one another, and become separated when soil is disturbed.</li> </ul>	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose Weak Moderate Strong	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct peo undisturbed soil Durable peds that are qu withstand displacement,	terevident in un-displaced soil, adhere weakly to one another, and become separated when soil is disturbed	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
<u>Prismatic</u> <u>Single Grain</u> Grade: <u>Loose</u> <u>Weak</u> <u>Moderate</u> <u>Strong</u> <u>Massive</u>	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct per undisturbed soil Durable peds that are qu withstand displacement, No observable aggregates	<ul> <li>acces of surrounding peeds. Diversity structure is commonly round in posoil.</li> <li>vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly tess are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil.</li> <li>sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.</li> <li>a peds, barely observable in place</li> <li>ds, moderately durable and evident, but not distinct in the evident in un-displaced soil, adhere weakly to one another, and become separated when soil is disturbed</li> <li>s, or no orderly arrangement of natural lines of weakness</li> </ul>	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose Weak Moderate Strong <u>Massive</u> Consistence:	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct per undisturbed soil Durable peds that are qu withstand displacement, No observable aggregates	<ul> <li>acces of surrounding peeds. Diversity structure is commonly round in posoil.</li> <li>vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly cess are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil.</li> <li>sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.</li> <li>c peds, barely observable in place</li> <li>ds, moderately durable and evident, but not distinct in</li> <li>inite evident in un-displaced soil, adhere weakly to one another, and become separated when soil is disturbed</li> <li>s, or no orderly arrangement of natural lines of weakness</li> </ul>	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose Weak Moderate Strong Massive Consistence: Loose	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct peo undisturbed soil Durable peds that are qu withstand displacement, No observable aggregates	Access of surrounding peeds. Diversity structure is commonly round in posoil. vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly tess are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together.	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose Weak Moderate Strong Massive Consistence: Loose Friable	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct per undisturbed soil Durable peds that are qu withstand displacement, No observable aggregates	acces of surrounding peeds. Diversity structure is commonly round in psoil. vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly tes are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together. te peds, barely observable in place ds, moderately durable and evident, but not distinct in hite evident in un-displaced soil, adhere weakly to one another, and become separated when soil is disturbed s, or no orderly arrangement of natural lines of weakness lable gers	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose Weak Moderate Strong Massive Consistence: Loose Friable Firm	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct per undisturbed soil Durable peds that are qu withstand displacement, No observable aggregates Intact specimen not avail Slight force between fing Moderate force between	acces of surrounding peeds. Diversity structure is commonly round in posoil. vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly tess are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together. a peds, barely observable in place ds, moderately durable and evident, but not distinct in the evident in un-displaced soil, adhere weakly to one another, and become separated when soil is disturbed s, or no orderly arrangement of natural lines of weakness fingers	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose Weak Moderate Strong <u>Massive</u> Consistence: Loose <u>Friable</u> <u>Firm</u> Extremely Firm	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct per undisturbed soil Durable peds that are qu withstand displacement, No observable aggregates Intact specimen not avail Slight force between Moderate force between	acces of surrounding peeds. Diversity structure is commonly round in posoil. vertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly tess are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together. c peds, barely observable in place ds, moderately durable and evident, but not distinct in tite evident in un-displaced soil, adhere weakly to one another, and become separated when soil is disturbed s, or no orderly arrangement of natural lines of weakness lable gers fingers hands or	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV'.
Prismatic Single Grain Grade: Loose Weak Moderate Strong <u>Massive</u> Consistence: Loose <u>Friable</u> <u>Firm</u> <u>Extremely Firm</u>	the lower topsoil and sub Flat or slightly rounded v longer vertically, and fac structure is commonly fo The structure found in a No peds, sandy soil Poorly formed, indistinct Well formed, distinct ped undisturbed soil Durable peds that are qu withstand displacement, No observable aggregates Intact specimen not avail Slight force between fing Moderate force between slight foot pressure	acces of surrounding peeds. blockly structure is commonly round in psoil. rertical faces bound the individual peds. Peds are distinctly tes are typically casts or molds of adjoining peds. Prismatic und in the lower subsoil. sandy soil. The individual particles are not held together. the peds, barely observable in place ds, moderately durable and evident, but not distinct in hite evident in un-displaced soil, adhere weakly to one another, and become separated when soil is disturbed s, or no orderly arrangement of natural lines of weakness fingers hands or	Slope Shape: Slope shape is described in two directions: up and down slope (perpendicular to the contour), and across slope (along the horizontal contour); e.g. Linear, Convex or LV.

